Lecture 11 : The Fundamental Theorem of Invertible Matrices

Math 3013 Oklahoma State University

February 9, 2022

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Agenda:

- 1. Matrix Inverses
- 2. The Calculation of a Matrix Inverse
- 3. The Fundamental Theorem of Invertible Matrices

Matrix Inverses

Definition

Suppose **A** is an $n \times n$ matrix. Then any matrix **B** such that

 $\mathbf{B}\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{I}$ and $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{B} = \mathbf{I}$

is called a matrix inverse of A.

Theorem

If a square matrix **A** has an inverse, it is unique.

Notation: If ${\bf A}$ is invertible, we will denote its (unique) inverse by ${\bf A}^{-1}$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Calculating Matrix Inverses - the underlying idea

► Uniqueness of **A**⁻¹:

If we can find a matrix ${\bm C}$ such that ${\bm C}{\bm A}={\bm I},$ then ${\bm C}={\bm A}^{-1}$

 Elementary matrices allow one to implement elementary row operations via matrix multiplication

If $\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}} := \mathcal{R}(\mathbf{I})$, then $\mathcal{R}(\mathbf{A}) = \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}}\mathbf{A}$

If A can be row reduced to I, there there will be a sequence of elemementary row operations R₁, R₂,..., R_k that transform A to I:

$$= \mathcal{R}_{k} (\cdots \mathcal{R}_{2} (\mathcal{R}_{1} (\mathbf{A})))$$
$$= \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{k}} \cdots \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{2}} \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{1}} \mathbf{A}$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \mathbf{A}^{-1} = \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_k} \cdots \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_2} \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_1}$$

So all we need a procedure for directly calculating

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_k} \cdots \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_2} \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_1}$$

Algorithm for Calculating A^{-1}

Theorem

Suppose **A** is an $n \times n$ matrix and let $[\mathbf{A}''|\mathbf{I}''] = R.R.E.F.([\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{I}])$.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

• If
$$A'' = I$$
, then $A^{-1} = I''$.

▶ If $\mathbf{A}'' \neq \mathbf{I}$, then \mathbf{A} has no inverse.

Calculating Inverse Matrices: Example

$$\mathbf{A} = \left[\begin{array}{rrr} 2 & 1 & 4 \\ 3 & 2 & 5 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 \end{array} \right]$$

We have

Find the inverse of

$$\begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{A} | \mathbf{I} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 4 & | & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 3 & 2 & 5 & | & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & | & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad R_2 \rightarrow R_2 - \frac{3}{2}R_1$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 4 & | & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -1 & | & -\frac{3}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & | & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 4 & | & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{2} & -1 & | & -\frac{3}{2} & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & | & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad R_2 \rightarrow 2R_2$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 4 & | & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & | & -3 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 1 & | & 0 & 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad R_3 \rightarrow R_3 + R_2$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 4 & | & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & | & -3 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & | & -3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix} = R.E.F.([\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{I}])$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶

)

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 4 & | & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & | & -3 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 & | & -3 & 2 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad R_3 \rightarrow -R_3$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 4 & | & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & -2 & | & -3 & 2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 3 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad R_2 + 2R_3$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 4 & | & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 3 & -2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 3 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 4 & | & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 3 & -2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 3 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad R_1 \rightarrow R_1 - 4R_3$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 1 & 0 & | & -11 & 8 & 4 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 3 & -2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 3 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad R_1 \rightarrow R_1 - R_2$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & | & -14 & 10 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 3 & -2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 3 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶

$$\begin{bmatrix} 2 & 0 & 0 & | & -14 & 10 & 6 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 3 & -2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 3 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$\downarrow \qquad R_1 \rightarrow \frac{1}{2}R_1$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & -7 & 5 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 3 & -2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 3 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ ◆□▶

$$R.R.E.F.([\mathbf{A}|\mathbf{I}]) = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & | & -7 & 5 & 3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & | & 3 & -2 & -2 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & | & 3 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I} | \mathbf{A}^{-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

and so

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -7 & 5 & 3\\ 3 & -2 & -2\\ 3 & -2 & -1 \end{bmatrix}$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲目▶ ▲目▶ 目 りへぐ

The Fundamental Theorem of Invertible Matrices

The following theorem shows the close connections between various problems we have considered.

Theorem

Let **A** be an $n \times n$ matrix. The following statements are equivalent (i.e., if any one of these statements is true, then all are true).

- (a) \mathbf{A}^{-1} exists.
- (b) Every linear system Ax = b has a unique solution.
- (c) Ax = 0 has only the trivial solution x = 0.
- (d) The R.R.E.F. of **A** is the $n \times n$ identity matrix.
- (e) **A** is a product of elementary matrices.

Proof: We'll demonstrate the following chain of implications

$(a) \Rightarrow (b)$

To show : if \mathbf{A}^{-1} exists, then $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$ has a unique solution

Suppose **A** is invertible, with inverse \mathbf{A}^{-1} . I claim $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ is a solution of $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{b}$. Indeed,

$$\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A} \left(\mathbf{A}^{-1} \mathbf{b}
ight) = \left(\mathbf{A} \mathbf{A}^{-1}
ight) \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{I} \mathbf{b} = \mathbf{b}$$

and so $\mathbf{x} = \mathbf{A}^{-1}\mathbf{b}$ is a solution.

Now suppose **y** is any other solution of Ax = b. Then

(so **y** is the same solution as we had before.)

$(b) \Rightarrow (c)$

To show : if linear systems Ax = b have unique solutions for each b, then the only solution of Ax = 0 is x = 0.

Suppose every linear system Ax = b has a unique solution. Then if we choose b = 0, then Ax = 0 has a unique solution. On the other hand, x = 0 is obviously a solution of Ax = 0. Since by hypothesis the solution of such an equation is unique, we conclude that Ax = 0 has x = 0 as its unique solution.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ● ●

$(\mathsf{c}) \Rightarrow (\mathsf{d})$

To show : if $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}$ is the only solution of $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0},$ then \mathbf{A} is row reducible to the identity matrix

This follows from our row reduction algorithm for solving linear systems.

Assume $\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}$ is the unique solution to $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}=\mathbf{0}$

Then the solution equation for ${\bf x}$ corresponds to the augmented matrix

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ \vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

for which the coefficient part (the "A-part" of the augmented matrix) is the identity matrix I.

On the other hand, since all solutions to a linear system Ax = 0 are obtainable by row reducing the augmented matrix $[A \mid 0]$ to its unique Reduced Row Echelon Form, we can conclude that A must be row reducible to the identity matrix.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

$(\mathsf{d}) \Rightarrow (\mathsf{e})$

To show : if \mathbf{A} can be row reduced to \mathbf{I} , then \mathbf{A} is a product of elementary matrices

Assume there is a sequence $\mathcal{R}_1, \mathcal{R}_2, \ldots, \mathcal{R}_k$ of elementary row operations that systematically converts **A** to the identity matrix **I**. Say,

$$\mathcal{R}_{k}\left(\mathcal{R}_{k-1}\left(\cdots\left(\mathcal{R}_{1}\left(\mathsf{A}
ight)
ight)
ight)
ight)=\mathsf{I}$$

or, implementing the row operations via matrix multiplication by elementary matrices,

$$\mathsf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_k}\mathsf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{k-1}}\cdots\mathsf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_1}\mathsf{A}=\mathsf{I}$$

This tells us that

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_k} \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{k-1}} \cdots \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_1}$$

So A^{-1} is a product of elementary matrices

(d) \Rightarrow (e), Cont'd

Next we use two facts

Lemma

If $\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}}$ is an elementary matrix, then $\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}}$ is invertible and $(\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}})^{-1}$ is another elementary matrix.

Lemma

If A_1, A_2, \ldots, A_ℓ are invertible matrices, then the matrix product $A_1A_2 \cdots A_\ell$ is invertible and

$$(\mathbf{A}_1\mathbf{A}_2\cdots\mathbf{A}_\ell)^{-1}=(\mathbf{A}_\ell)^{-1}\cdots(\mathbf{A}_2)^{-1}(\mathbf{A}_1)^{-1}$$

Thus,

$$\mathbf{A} = (\mathbf{A}^{-1})^{-1}$$

= $(\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_k} \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_{k-1}} \cdots \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_1})^{-1}$
= $\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_1}^{-1} \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_2}^{-1} \cdots \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_k}^{-1}$

 $(e) \Rightarrow (a)$

To show: if **A** is a product of elementary matrices, then **A** is invertible.

Suppose

$$\mathbf{A} = \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_1} \cdots \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_k}$$

Then because each of the matrix factor $\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_i}$ is invertible, **A** is also invertible and, moreover,

$$\mathbf{A}^{-1} = (\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_1} \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_2} \cdots \mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_k})^{-1} = (\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_k})^{-1} \cdots (\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_2})^{-1} (\mathbf{E}_{\mathcal{R}_1})^{-1}$$

Having completed the chain of implications

$$(a) \ \Rightarrow \ (b) \ \Rightarrow \ (c) \ \Rightarrow \ (d) \ \Rightarrow \ (e) \ \Rightarrow \ (a)$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

the theorem is now proved.

Overview of Material to be Covered on First Exam

- Vectors as elements of \mathbb{R}^n
 - Vector addition
 - Scalar multiplication
 - The dot product
- Simple Geometric Contructs: Points, Lines, Planes and Hyperplanes as Sets of Vectors

 $\mathcal{H} = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{R}^n \mid \mathbf{x} = \mathbf{p}_0 + t_1 \mathbf{v}_1 + t_2 \mathbf{v}_2 \cdots t_k \mathbf{v}_k; t_1, t_2, \dots, t_k \in \mathbb{R} \}$

- Matrices and Matrix Algebra
 - Matrix Addition
 - Scalar Multiplication of Matrices
 - Matrix Multiplication
 - Matrix Transposes

Overview, Cont'd

Linear Systems

- The geometry of solution sets of linear systems
 - Solution set of single linear equation in *m* unknowns is a (*m*−1)-dimenional hyperplane in ℝ^m
 - ▶ solution set of *n* equations in *m*-unknowns is the intersection of *n* hyperplanes in ℝ^m
- Naive Expection: Given n linear equations in m unknowns, one expects the solutions to form a (m - n)-dimensional hyperplane in R^m Exceptions:

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- linear systems with redundant equations
- linear systems with internal contradictions
- Matrix formulation: Ax = b
- Solving linear systems via row reduction
 - Augmented Matrices [A | b]
 - Row Echelon Form
 - Reduced Row Echelon Form
 - Fixed Variables and Free Variables
 - Solution Set as a Hyperplane

Overview, Cont'd

Matrix Inverses

- Calculating A⁻¹
- The Fundamental Theorem of Matrix Inverses Theorem: Let A be an n × n matrix. The following statements are equivalent
 - (a) **A** is invertible.
 - (b) Every linear system Ax = b has a unique solution.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- (c) Ax = 0 has only the trivial solution x = 0.
- (d) The R.R.E.F. of **A** is the $n \times n$ identity matrix.
- (e) **A** is a product of elementary matrices.