

# Shared Orbits

OSU Lie Groups Seminar

November ,2003

## 1. Introduction/Motivation

For some time now I've been trying to get a handle on a means of specifying the annihilators of unipotent representations. The basic prototype of the what I have been looking for is Devra Garfinkle's description of the generators of the annihilators of the minimal representations.

Let  $G$  be a simply-connected complex Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\mathcal{N}$  be the cone of nilpotent elements of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . It is well known that  $\mathcal{N}$  consists of only finitely many  $G$  orbits, and that there is a unique nilpotent orbit  $\mathcal{O}_{\min}$  of minimal dimension. In fact, one can explicitly describe the polynomial generators of the radical ideal in  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  corresponding to  $\overline{\mathcal{O}_{\min}}$ . Let  $F_{\mu}$  denote the irreducible finite dimensional representation of  $\mathfrak{g}$  with highest weight  $\mu$ , and let  $\lambda$  be the highest root of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then the space  $S^2(\mathfrak{g})$  of homogeneous polynomials of degree two decomposes under the adjoint action of  $G$  as

$$S^2(\mathfrak{g}) \approx F_{2\lambda} \oplus F_0 \oplus F_{\mu_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus F_{\mu_k}$$

(the point being that the summands corresponding to the trivial representation and the representation with highest weight  $2\lambda$  always appear). Then

$$I_{\mathcal{O}_{\min}} = S(\mathfrak{g}) (F_0 \oplus F_{\mu_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus F_{\mu_k})$$

Let  $\pi_{\min}$  be the unipotent representation of  $G$  attached to the minimal orbit. Then it is known that  $\pi_{\min}$  is unitary and the annihilator of  $\pi_{\min}$  (the so-called Joseph ideal) is generated by

$$Sym((F_0 - \lambda_{\min}) \oplus F_{\mu_1} \oplus \cdots \oplus F_{\mu_k})$$

where  $Sym : S(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow U(\mathfrak{g})$  is the symmeterizer map. (This is essentially Garfinkle's result.)

In trying to generalize this picture for other nilpotent orbits and their corresponding unipotent representations one eventually has to confront a problematic example discovered by Joseph: the 8-dimensional nilpotent orbit  $\mathcal{O}_8$  of  $G_2$ . It turns out that there are a number of peculiarities associated with this orbit:

- There are two completely prime primitive ideals attached to this orbit (only one of which corresponds to a unitary representation).
- One of these ideals (curiously enough, the one annihilating a unitary representation of  $G$ ) has the property that  $Gr(J)$  is not prime (because  $Gr(J) \neq \sqrt{Gr(J)}$ ).
- The closure of  $\mathcal{O}_8$  is not a normal variety, but  $\mathcal{O}_8$  embeds densely in the minimal orbit of  $B_3 \approx \mathfrak{so}(7, \mathbb{C})$  which is a normal variety, and the unitary representation attached to  $\mathcal{O}_8$  can be realized as the (irreducible!) restriction of the minimal representation of  $B_3$  to  $G_2$ .

It turns out also that the 10-dimensional nilpotent orbit of  $G_2$  embeds densely in the minimal orbit of a larger simple group (in this case  $D_4 \approx \mathfrak{so}(8, \mathbb{C})$ ). Moreover, the minimal representation of  $D_4$  upon restriction to  $G_2$  reveals a sort of dual pair theta correspondence:  $g_2$  is the subalgebra of fixed points for the outer automorphisms corresponding to the  $S_3$  symmetry ("trality") of the Dynkin diagram of  $D_4$  and

$$\pi_{\min, \mathfrak{so}(8)}|_{\mathfrak{g}_2 \times S_3} = \bigoplus_{\sigma \in \widehat{S}_3} V_{\sigma} \otimes E_{\sigma}$$

Here the  $V_{\sigma}$  comprise the special unipotent representations with infinitesimal character  $\omega_1 + \omega_2$  and  $E_{\sigma}$  is the irreducible  $S_3$ -module corresponding to  $\sigma$ .

This situation raised a bunch of questions for me; the main one being how prevalent is this sort of phenomenon; which in turn had two parts:

- When does one have dense embeddings

$$\mathfrak{g} \supset \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}' \subset \mathfrak{g}'$$

of one nilpotent orbit into a nilpotent orbit of a larger Lie algebra. (I should remark that I was interested in this because the annihilators of a non-minimal unipotent representation of the smaller group might be most easily revealed by studying the embedding of  $U(\mathfrak{g})$  into the Joseph ideal of  $U(\mathfrak{g}')$ .)

- Is there always an accompanying dual pair phenomenon that could be used to provide realizations of non-minimal unipotent representations?

Unfortunately, the answers to both questions have already been attained. R. Brylinski and Kostant answered the first, and Jing-Song Huang answered the second (at least for simple complex groups). But it's such a pretty story, I thought it be a nice topic for a Lie groups seminar.

## 2. Normality and Shared Orbits (Brylinski/Kostant)

The following is a outline of how Brylinski and Kostant classified of dense embeddings of the form

$$(2.1) \quad \mathfrak{g} \supset \mathcal{O} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{O}' \subset \mathfrak{g}'$$

with  $\mathfrak{g}$  a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}'$ . Although they considered the general case when  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a semisimple complex Lie algebra, in this outline I'll mostly stick to the case when  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple (because in the end, it turns out that if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple, then a dense embedding like (2.1) is only possible when  $\mathfrak{g}'$  is also simple - and that if  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple, then the allowed embeddings are effectively enumerated simple factor by simple factor).

**2.1. Normal Closures of Orbit Coverings.** Let  $G$  be a simply connected semisimple complex Lie group with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $\mathcal{O}$  be the adjoint orbit of a nilpotent element  $e \in \mathfrak{g}$ , and let

$$\nu : M \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$$

be a  $G$ -homogeneous covering and choose  $\varepsilon \in M$  such that  $\nu(\varepsilon) = e$ . Then

$$G_o^e \subset G^\varepsilon \subset G^e$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} M &\approx G/G^\varepsilon \\ \pi_1(M) &\approx G^\varepsilon/G_o^e \end{aligned}$$

Let  $Q = Q(M)$  denote the group of all maps  $\alpha : M \rightarrow M$  that commute with the action of  $G$ . Then

$$M \approx N^\varepsilon/G^\varepsilon$$

where  $N^\varepsilon$  is the normalizer of  $G^\varepsilon$  in  $G$ .  $G \times Q$  acts rationally by algebra automorphisms on  $R = R(M)$ , the algebra of regular functions on  $M$ .

Let  $(\cdot, \cdot)$  be the Killing form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  (or any fixed  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant nonsingular symmetric bilinear form on  $\mathfrak{g}$  that is negative definite on some compact form of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ). For  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$  define  $\phi^x \in R$  by

$$\phi^x(p) = (\nu(p), x) \quad , \quad \forall p \in M$$

and set

$$R[\mathfrak{g}] = R(M)[\mathfrak{g}] = \text{linear span of the functions } \phi^x, \text{ where } x \in \mathfrak{g}$$

Clearly, as vector spaces  $R[\mathfrak{g}] \approx \mathfrak{g}$ . Furthermore, if  $\overline{\mathcal{O}}$  is the closure of  $\mathcal{O}$ , then the subalgebra  $S \subset R$  generated by  $R[\mathfrak{g}]$  can be identified with  $R(\overline{\mathcal{O}})$ .

**LEMMA 0.1.** *If  $Z$  is a normal algebraic variety, then the ring  $R(Z)$  is integrally closed in the field  $K(Z)$  of rational functions on  $Z$ .*

PROPOSITION 0.2. • *There exists a unique affine variety  $X$  containing  $M$  as a Zariski open subset such that all regular functions on  $M$  extend to  $X$ .<sup>1</sup>*

- *The ring  $R(M) = R(X) = R$  is a finitely generated  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra and*

$$X = \text{Spec}(R) \quad .$$

- *The commuting actions of  $G$  and  $Q$  on  $M$  extend uniquely to commuting algebraic actions of  $G$  and  $M$  on  $X$ .*
- *The covering map  $\nu$  extends uniquely to a finite surjective  $G$ -equivariant morphism*

$$\bar{\nu}: X \rightarrow \bar{\mathcal{O}}$$

- *$X$  is a normal variety and in fact  $X$  is the normalization of  $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$  in the function field of  $M$ .*
- *$G$  has finitely many orbits on  $X$  and each is even dimensional*
- *$M$  is the unique Zariski dense open orbit of  $G$  on  $X$  and its boundary has codimension at least 2.*

We call  $X$  the **normal closure of  $M$** .

## 2.2. The Right Scaling Action of $\mathbb{C}^*$ on $X$ and the graded Poisson Structure on $R(X)$ .

Recall that  $\mathcal{O} = G \cdot e$ ,  $M = G \cdot \varepsilon$ . The Jacobson-Morosov Theorem says that there are  $h, f \in \mathfrak{g}$  such that  $\{e, f, h\}$  span an  $\mathfrak{sl}(2, \mathbb{C})$  subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ , with

$$[h, e] = 2e \quad , \quad [h, f] = -2f \quad , \quad [e, f] = h$$

Then  $\exp(\mathbb{C}h) \subset N^\varepsilon \subset G$ , and so defines a subgroup  $C$  of  $Q = N^\varepsilon/G^\varepsilon$ , the group of all maps  $M \rightarrow M$  that commute with the action of  $G$ , and hence  $C$  acts on  $X$ . It turns out that the vector field corresponding to the infinitesimal action of  $C$  on  $\mathcal{O}$  is just two times the restriction of the Euler operator on  $S(\mathfrak{g})$  to  $\mathcal{O}$  (the Euler operator happens to be a  $G$ -invariant differential operator tangent to every orbit).

LEMMA 0.3. *The action of  $C$  on  $X$  lifts the square of the Euler action on  $\bar{\mathcal{O}}$  so that  $\bar{\nu}(e^{th}x) = e^{2t}\bar{\nu}(x)$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{C}$ .*

Write  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ , let

$$R[k] = \{\phi \in R \mid (e^{th}\phi)(x) = e^{tk}\phi(x)\}$$

PROPOSITION 0.4. • *The action of  $C$  on  $R = R(X)$  is completely reducible, and in fact,*

$$R = \bigoplus_{k=0}^{\infty} R[k]$$

*is a  $G$ -invariant algebra grading.*

- *Each  $R[k]$  is a finite-dimensional  $G$ -stable subspace.*
- *$R[0] = \mathbb{C} \cdot 1$ , where 1 is the constant function on  $X$ .*
- *There is a unique point  $o \in X$  such that  $\bar{\nu}(o) = 0 \in \bar{\mathcal{O}}$ . This  $o$  is the unique  $G$ -fixed point of  $x$  and also the unique  $C$ -fixed point of  $x$ .*
- *Let  $\mathfrak{m} \subset R$ , be the maximal ideal at  $o$ . Then*

$$\mathfrak{m} = \bigoplus_{k=1}^{\infty} R[k]$$

*and also  $\mathfrak{m}$  is the sum in  $R$  of all non-trivial  $G$ -modules.*

- *If the degree of the cover  $\nu$  is odd (e.g., if  $M = \mathcal{O}$ ), then  $R[k] = 0$  if  $k$  is odd.*

<sup>1</sup>It seems to me that the condition that the regular functions on  $M$  be extendable to regular functions of the variety in which it embeds densely is really the crux of the utility such an embedding, and so this condition is a very natural hypothesis. It is remarkable, therefore, that from this requirement alone, we get a unique candidate for  $X$ .

Recall that an adjoint orbit admits a canonical  $G$ -invariant symplectic form  $\omega_{\mathcal{O}}$ . But then the pullback of  $\omega = \omega_M = \nu^*(\omega_{\mathcal{O}})$  defines a symplectic form on  $M$ . Each  $\phi \in R = R[M] = R[X]$  then defines a Hamiltonian vector field  $\xi_{\phi}$  on  $M$  by

$$\xi_{\phi} \lrcorner \omega = d\phi$$

Then  $R$  is a Poisson algebra with Poisson bracket given by

$$\{\phi, \psi\} = \xi_{\phi} \psi = \omega(d\phi, d\psi)$$

PROPOSITION 0.5. *The Poisson bracket established above make  $M$  a Hamiltonian  $G$ -space. Moreover,*

- *The right scaling action on  $M$  scales  $\omega$  so that*

$$\{R[k], R[l]\} \subset R[k+l-2]$$

- *The map  $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow R^2$ ,  $\rho(x) = \phi^x$  is a Lie algebra homomorphism.*
- *$R[2]+R[1]+R[0]$  is the unique maximal finite dimensional subalgebra of  $R$  containing  $R[\mathfrak{g}] = \rho(\mathfrak{g})$*
- *If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is semisimple, then  $R[2]$  is semisimple. If  $\mathfrak{g}$  is simple, then  $R[2]$  is simple.*
- *If  $R[1] \neq 0$ , then the Poisson bracket gives  $R[1] + R[0]$  the structure of a Heisenberg algebra and the bracket operation of  $R[2]$  on  $R[1]$  defines a Lie algebra surjection*

$$\delta: R[2] \rightarrow \mathfrak{sp}(2n, \mathbb{C}) \quad , \quad 2n = \dim R[1]$$

Now it is important to note that at this point we have concrete realization of the algebraic variety  $X$  (other than as  $\text{Spec}(R(M))$ ). What makes everything about  $R[2]$  computable is the idea of Algebraic Frobenius Reciprocity developed in Kostant's famous paper on rings of polynomials over  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

FACT 0.6 (Algebraic Frobenius Reciprocity). *For every  $G$ -module  $V$  there is a  $G$ -linear isomorphism*

$$t: V^{G^{\varepsilon}} \rightarrow \text{Hom}_G(V^*, R(G/G^{\varepsilon}))$$

defined by

$$t(v)(\gamma)(g \cdot \varepsilon) = \langle g \cdot v, \gamma \rangle \quad , \quad \forall g \in G \quad , \quad \forall v \in V^{G^{\varepsilon}} \quad , \quad \gamma \in V^*$$

LEMMA 0.7. *For every  $G$ -module  $V$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $t$  defines by restriction of  $V^{G^{\varepsilon}}[k]$  a linear isomorphism*

$$t_k: V^{G^{\varepsilon}}[k] \rightarrow \text{Hom}(V^*, R[k])$$

In other words, we can explicitly enumerate what  $\mathfrak{g}$ -types appear in  $R[k]$ .

EXAMPLE 0.8. Let  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}(3, \mathbb{C})$  and let  $M$  be the simply-connected 3-fold cover of the principle nilpotent orbit  $\mathcal{O}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Let  $V \approx \mathbb{C}^3$  be the standard representation, so that  $h$  has eigenvalues  $-2, 0$ , and  $2$  on  $V$ . One finds that the spaces

$$V^{\mathfrak{g}^{\varepsilon}}[2] \quad , \quad (\wedge^2 V)^{\mathfrak{g}^{\varepsilon}}[2] \quad , \quad \text{and} \quad (\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{g}^{\varepsilon}}[2]$$

are all 1-dimensional, and so the simple modules  $\mathbb{C}^3$ ,  $\wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^3$ , and  $\mathfrak{g}$  all occur exactly once in  $R[2]$ . Furthermore, if  $\mathfrak{q}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{q}_2$  are submodules of  $R[2]$  carrying, respectively,  $\mathbb{C}^3$  and  $\wedge^2 \mathbb{C}^3$ . Then  $\mathfrak{t} = \mathfrak{g} + \mathfrak{q}_1 + \mathfrak{q}_2$  is a 14-dimensional algebra semisimple algebra of rank 2. This already limits  $\mathfrak{t}$  to  $\mathfrak{g}_2$ .